## HUBBARD COMMUNICATIONS OFFICE Saint Hill Manor, East Grinstead, Sussex

PRs

References:

Rev. 5.9.78

HCO PL 18 Nov 70 II

HCO POLICY LETTER OF 19 JULY 1982 Remimeo CORRECTED AND REISSUED 1 AUGUST 1982

(Corrections in Script)

## PR Series 46

## FAILED PR

PR Series 5

## PR DEFINITION HCO PL 7 Aug 72R PR Series 17R Rev. 9.8.72 PR AND CAUSATION HCO PL 21 Nov 72 I PR Series 18 HOW TO HANDLE BLACK PROPAGANDA HCO PL 1 Apr 82 PR Series 19R THE SAFE POINT HCO PL 27 Oct 74 PR Series 25 SAFE GROUND HCO PL 30 Mar 75 PR Series 26 PR & INFORMATION HCO PL 19 Sep 79 PR Series 40 Marketing Series 11 PROMOTION HCO PL 30 May 68 Admin Know-How #20 ADMINISTRATION HCO PL 16 May 65 II INDICATORS OF ORGS THE THIRD PARTY LAW HCO PL 26 Dec 68 THIRD PARTY, HOW TO FIND ONE HCO PL 15 Mar 69 JUSTIFICATION HCOB 21 Jan AD10 HOW TO CLEAR WITHHOLDS AND HCOB 12 Feb 62

HCOB 31 Jan 70 WITHHOLDS, OTHER PEOPLE'S HCO PL 3 May 72R Exec Series 12 ETHICS AND EXECUTIVES Rev. 18.12.77 SEC CHECKING GENERALITIES WON'T HCOB 16 Nov 61 WITHHOLDS. MISSED AND PARTIAL HCOB 22 Feb 62 THE DRAMATIZATION OF WITHHOLDS ON HCO PL 19 Oct 74 VITAL INFORMATION LINES Product Debug Series 8 HCOB/PL 7 Aug 79 Esto Series 36 FALSE DATA STRIPPING

MISSED WITHHOLDS

ARC BREAKS, MISSED WITHHOLDS

HCOB 8 Feb 62 MISSED WITHHOLDS HCOB 3 May 62R ARC BREAKS, MISSE

There is a datum as follows - when admin won't go in, tech is out. When tech won't go in, ethics is out.

Here is how this fits in the PR world: WHEN PR WON'T GO IN. ETHICS IS OUT.

There are several ramifications of this, which is to say, several possible flows. It could be the PR himself is not pushing and is out-ethics.

It could be - the usual reason - that the via to the target public or even the target public is out-ethics in some way.

It could occur that the target (or via to it) is being pressured by an out-ethics third flow - as in third party tech.

But, whatever the flow, the fact remains that the above datum is true.

Any PR pushing a worthwhile message and pushing it with good ideas and PR tech will get his only loses by reason of the above datum.

An example in PRing an org which then does not respond - an instance which just happened, out-ethics was rife at the org top.

This is not to put PRs in the ethics game. And it is not to serve as an excuse for failure.

The datum is for use - to widen up the obs ability of the PR for it opens a new avenue to him for a PR handling!

Out-ethics on the surface appears as withhold phenomena. This makes the person the PR is working on or via behave as they do per withhold HCOBs which a PR should know.

PR as well as being bright, is often a wily, clever game. If one knows what he is up against he can develop a PR handling to fit.

If one suddenly realizes he is talking to no avail he need not just go on butting his head against the wall or countering chop or insults. There are ways to blow withholds into view and withholders out of the water that are too numerous to mention. If one, seeing the key datum of this PL is the case, then shifts his approach to blowing the withhold to bits, he wins. And he stands a big chance of now getting his message through where before it was all failure.

As an example, the crudest form would be an abrupt shift and question, "who has been lying to you about (principle)?" This enters it into false data stripping instantly. There are many, many ploys depending on the flow and situation encountered.

"Worse than" is a tool a PR can use. One infers the withhold is far worse than it possibly could be. "Why are you planning to (shoot, destroy, wreck) (principle)?"

The above datum has a thousand uses.

Don't get bugged by failures to get a message through. Having given your best efforts resulting in a block, don't quit. Apply the above datum. It serves the same purpose as dynamite. It can clear the way for flows to go through even if the pieces fly sky-high!

L. RON HUBBARD FOUNDER

Adopted as Official Church Policy by the

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY INTERNATIONAL

CSI:LRH:kjm Copyright • 1982 by L. Ron Hubbard ALL RIGHTS RESERVED